LTCP CASE REVIEWS AND PATH-TO-CLOSURE PLANS REPORT: As of March 1, 2014

UST case reviews against the UST Low-Threat Case Closure Policy (LTCP) and Path-To-Closure Plans (PTCP) are required to be completed annually. These data report the status of these reviews for the year beginning August 17, 2013. All the data for the Regional Water Quality Control Boards, Local Oversight Programs, the Local Implementing Agencies, and the State Water Resources Control Board are compiled from GeoTracker. The data for the Division of Water Quality were compiled from internal tracking.

Regional Water Quality Control Boards - Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	317	10	45	36	11
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	482	14	29	20	1
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	228	1	9	21	3
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	1,236	11	64	35	13
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	252	8	66	4	3
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	110	3	3	1	0
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	385	15	15	9	4
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	135	5	9	24	12
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	1	1	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	99	1	6	3	0
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	253	1	12	14	2
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	117	6	2	0	0
TOTALS	3,615	76	260	167	49

ⁱ Columns "Open cases as of 8/17/13" and "Cases Closed Since 8/17/13" have been removed

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) - Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	193	7	35	23	0
ANAHEIM CITY LOP	6	2	1	4	1
EL DORADO COUNTY LOP	5	5	1	0	0
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	81	5	2	0	0
MERCED COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
NAPA COUNTY LOP	22	1	0	2	0
NEVADA COUNTY LOP	12	1	0	0	0
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	179	4	64	50	2
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	49	5	4	5	0
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	150	2	18	11	1
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	298	42	8	5	0
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	55	1	7	26	10
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	97	9	4	7	0
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	99	5	39	10	1
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	50	7	44	12	4
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	140	2	39	30	1
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	18	0	16	5	1
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	49	7	5	7	2
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	63	2	44	24	6
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	26	5	1	2	0
TULARE COUNTY LOP	39	6	11	8	2
VENTURA COUNTY LOP	34	0	4	6	2
TOTALS	1,665	118	347	237	33

-

ⁱ Columns "Open cases as of 8/17/13" and "Cases Closed Since 8/17/13" have been removed

Regional Water Quality Control Boards - Path to Closure Plan

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expected in Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii}	Closure Expected after 12/31/2019
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	150	0	0	150	6	29	31	90
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	331	0	0	331	74	236	51	44
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	116	0	0	116	82	104	1	11
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	793	0	0	793	85	318	437	38
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	187	0	0	187	8	48	68	71
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	67	0	0	67	0	18	30	19
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	275	0	0	275	6	43	99	133
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	71	0	1	70	7	48	3	19
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	80	0	0	80	5	46	13	21
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	183	0	0	183	9	73	66	44
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	78	0	0	78	42	45	33	0
SWRCB-DWQ	121	1	0	120	32	119	0	1
UST CLEAN UP FUND	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	2,468	17	1	2,450	356	1,127	832	491

¹ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was "open" as of 8/17/2012

PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

Value of the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases who PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/4/2014

¹ Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

vii Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

viiiClosure Expected Afer 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) - Path to Closure Plan:

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress ⁱⁱⁱ	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expecte d in the Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019	Closure Expected After 12/31/2019
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	196	2	3	191	13	97	94	0
EL DORADO COUNTY LOP	7	0	0	7	5	6	0	1
ANAHEIM CITY LOP	10	0	0	10	4	7	1	2
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	68	0	0	68	40	59	0	9
MERCED COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NAPA COUNTY LOP	14	0	0	14	7	11	3	0
NEVADA COUNTY LOP	8	0	0	8	2	7	0	1
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	163	0	0	163	5	56	101	6
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	47	0	0	47	0	10	20	17
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	110	0	0	110	26	76	22	12
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	274	0	0	274	30	107	150	17
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	48	0	0	48	20	24	18	6
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	68	0	0	68	6	47	17	4
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	98	1	0	97	7	51	46	0
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	60	1	4	55	3	15	37	3
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	151	0	0	151	21	109	40	2
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	31	0	0	31	15	24	0	7
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	35	0	0	35	13	25	9	1
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	99	0	0	99	12	52	41	6
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	18	0	0	18	3	9	9	0
TULARE COUNTY LOP	41	0	0	41	14	31	4	6
VENTURA COUNTY LOP	24	2	0	22	7	22	0	0
TOTALS	1,570	6	7	1,557	253	845	612	100

¹ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was "OPEN" as of 8/17/2012

PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/5/2014

vi Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

vii Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

viii Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

State Water Resources Control Board:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Open Cases as of 8/17/13 ⁱ	Reviews Not Complete	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Closure Ordered by SWRCB ⁱⁱ	Regulatory Agencies Plan to Close	Regulatory Agencies Closed
DFA – UST CLEANUP FUND	-	-	-	-	87	54	252

ORGANIZATION NAME	Active Petitions as of 8/17/12	New Petitions Since 8/17/12	Petitions w/60-day Closure Notice Posted After 8/17/2012	Petitions Withdrawn by Responsible Party After 8/17/2012	Petitions Dismissed by Executive Director After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by Lead Agency After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by the State Water Board After 8/17/2012	Petitions Resolved ^{iv} Since 8/17/2012	Active Petitions as of Reporting Date
DWQ - PETITIONS	71	17	13	4	3	26	28	61	27

ⁱ DFA cases are already included above under the appropriate (lead) regulatory agency. Total number of cases with 5-year reviews that had previously recommended the regulatory agency pursue closure based on Resolution No. 92-49 criteria as of 8/17/2013.

Closure activities must be completed within 6 months, and the Uniform Closure Letter issued within the following 2 months.

Petitions resolved equals the sum of petitions withdrawn by the responsibility party, petitions dismissed by executive director, petitioned cases closed by lead agency, and petitioned cases ordered closed by the Board.

The Sum of Petitions Withdrawn by the Responsible Party, Petitions Dismissed by the Executive Director, Closed by the Lead Agency, and Closed by the State Water Board equals the Petitions Resolved.